<u>Table 1</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 1

Table 1: Comparison of Ranking Question 1	Genesee	Statewide
	n=11	n=139
I. Ranking Question 1:		
Please rank the top 5 factors critical to	choosing EBPs in your re	gion.
	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 7.2%
	2 nd Choice 9.1%	2 nd Choice 12.9%
a. Level of Ongoing Training Needed	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 9.4%
	4 th Choice 27.3%	4 th Choice 17.3%
	5th Choice 9.1%	5 th Choice 20.1%
	Unranked 45.5%	Unranked 33.1%
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 3.6%
	2 nd Choice 0.0%	2 nd Choice 2.2%
b. Ease of Certification Process	3rd Choice 18.2%	3 rd Choice 9.4%
	4 th Choice 9.1%	4 th Choice 5.0%
	5 th Choice 0.0%	5 th Choice 5.8%
	Unranked 72.7%	Unranked 74.1%
	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 5.8%
	0.070	5.570
Controller Deliner	- , ,	
c. Cost of Intervention Delivery	3rd Choice 9.1%	3rd Choice 17.3%
	4th Choice 18.2%	4th Choice 10.8%
	5th Choice 0.0%	5th Choice 11.5%
	Unranked 54.5%	Unranked 32.4%
	1st Choice 18.2%	1st Choice 8.6%
	2 nd Choice 9.1%	2 nd Choice 15.1%
d. Ability to Maintain Fidelity to the	3 rd Choice 0.0%	3rd Choice 11.5%
Model	4 th Choice 18.2%	4 th Choice 12.2%
	5 th Choice 27.3%	5th Choice 15.8%
	Unranked 27.3%	Unranked 36.7%
	271070	5 3 5 7
	1st Choice 63.6%	1st Choice 53.2%
e. Consumer Demand	2 nd Choice 0.0%	2 nd Choice 7.9%
	3 rd Choice 18.2%	3 rd Choice 7.9%
	4 th Choice 0.0%	4 th Choice 5.8%

	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	4.3%
	Unranked	9.1%	Unranked	20.9%
		0.101		
	1st Choice	9.1%	1st Choice	2.2%
f. Retaining Qualified Staff to Deliver	2 nd Choice	27.3%	2 nd Choice	12.2%
EBPs	3 rd Choice	9.1%	3 rd Choice	16.5%
	4 th Choice	9.1%	4 th Choice	20.9%
	5 th Choice	18.2%	5 th Choice	11.5%
	Unranked	27.3%	Unranked	36.7%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	3.6%
	2 nd Choice	18.2%	2 nd Choice	10.1%
g. Fit With Existing EBPs	3 rd Choice	18.2%	3 rd Choice	8.6%
	4 th Choice	9.1%	4 th Choice	9.4%
	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	12.2%
	Unranked	45.5%	Unranked	56.1%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	15.1%
h Availability of Ongoing Funding	2 nd Choice	18.2%	2 nd Choice	17.3%
h. Availability of Ongoing Funding from the State to Sustain EBPs	3 rd Choice	18.2%	3 rd Choice	14.4%
if oil the State to Sustain Edi S	4 th Choice	9.1%	4 th Choice	10.8%
	5 th Choice	27.3%	5 th Choice	14.4%
	Unranked	27.3%	Unranked	28.1%
i. Presence of a Learning	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	0.7%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	0.0%
	3 rd Choice	9.1%	3 rd Choice	5.0%
Collaborative	4th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	7.9%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	4.3%
	Unranked	90.9%	Unranked	82.0%

<u>Table 2</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 2

Table 2. Companson of Kanking Question 2	Genesee		Statewide	
	n=11		n=139	
II. Ranking Question 2:			-	
Please rank the top 5 potential Statewid	e solutions:			
				<u> </u>
	1st Choice	54.5%	1st Choice	46.0%
a. Fund Regions to Select Alternative	2 nd Choice	18.2%	2nd Choice	14.4%
EBPs Based on Local Needs Rather	3 rd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	14.4%
than only Mandated EBPs	4 th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	3.6%
	5 th Choice	27.3%	5 th Choice	7.2%
	Unranked	0.0%	Unranked	14.4%
	1st Choice	18.2%	1st Choice	10.8%
b. Provide Authoritative EBP	2 nd Choice	18.2%	2 nd Choice	12.9%
Consultation that is Readily Available	3 rd Choice	36.4%	3 rd Choice	19.4%
to Clinicians on Demand	4 th Choice	9.1%	4 th Choice	15.8%
	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	11.5%
	Unranked	9.1%	Unranked	29.5%
	1st Choice	0.10/	1st Choice	F 00/
	2 nd Choice	9.1%	2 nd Choice	5.8% 7.2%
c. Maintain Dedicated State	3rd Choice	0.0%	3rd Choice	4.3%
Champion for Each EBP	4th Choice	0.0%	4 th Choice	13.7%
	5 th Choice	18.2%	5 th Choice	14.4%
	Unranked	72.7%	Unranked	54.7%
	Ulli alikeu	72.790	Omanked	34.7%
	1st Choice	9.1%	1st Choice	2.2%
	2 nd Choice	9.1%	2nd Choice	11.5%
d. Increase Phase-in Time to	3 rd Choice	9.1%	3 rd Choice	10.8%
Implement EBPs	4 th Choice	18.2%	4 th Choice	10.1%
	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	7.2%
	Unranked	45.5%	Unranked	58.3%
o Increase Concumer	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	12.9%
e. Increase Consumer Representation and Participation in the Selection of EBPs	2 nd Choice	18.2%	2 nd Choice	9.4%
	3 rd Choice	9.1%	3 rd Choice	5.8%
	4 th Choice	9.1%	4 th Choice	5.0%
	5 th Choice	0.0%	5 th Choice	8.6%

	Unranked	63.6%	Unranked	58.3%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	0.7%
	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	11.5%
f. Seek Regular Consumer Feedback on EBPs after they are Implemented	3rd Choice	18.2%	3 rd Choice	15.8%
on EDPS after they are implemented	4 th Choice	27.3%	4 th Choice	16.5%
	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	12.2%
	Unranked	45.5%	Unranked	43.2%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	2.9%
g. Use a Variety of Communication	2 nd Choice	0.0%	2 nd Choice	10.1%
Mechanisms to Inform Regions about	3rd Choice	18.2%	3rd Choice	11.5%
EBPs	4 th Choice	9.1%	4 th Choice	12.9%
	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	15.1%
	Unranked	63.6%	Unranked	47.5%
	1st Choice	0.0%	1st Choice	5.8%
h. Set up the State to Act as a Central Clearinghouse for EBP Information, Certification and Training	2 nd Choice	27.3%	2 nd Choice	7.9%
	3 rd Choice	9.1%	3 rd Choice	10.8%
	4 th Choice	9.1%	4 th Choice	10.1%
	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	13.7%
	Unranked	45.5%	Unranked	51.8%
i. Certify the CMHSP/Region and not just the Individual Staff for Particular	1st Choice	9.1%	1st Choice	12.2%
	2nd Choice	9.1%	2 nd Choice	14.4%
	3 rd Choice	0.0%	3 rd Choice	6.5%
EBPs	4 th Choice	18.2%	4 th Choice	11.5%
	5 th Choice	9.1%	5 th Choice	9.4%
	Unranked	54.5%	Unranked	46.0%

<u>Table 3</u>: Comparison of Ranking Question 3

	Genesee	Statewide	
	n=11	n=139	
III. Ranking Question 3:		-	
Please rank the top 3 factors pertainin	g to fidelity:		
a. Promote Adaptations of EBPs to	1st Choice 36.4%	1st Choice 46.3%	
Accommodate Regional Differences	2 nd Choice 27.3%	2 nd Choice 31.3%	
	3 rd Choice 36.4%	3 rd Choice 22.4%	
b. Establish a More Flexible			
Certification Standard for Who Can	1st Choice 36.4%	1st Choice 35.1%	
Deliver EBPs	2 nd Choice 45.5%	2 nd Choice 37.3%	
Denver Ebro	3 rd Choice 18.2%	3 rd Choice 27.6%	
c. Develop Audit Options to Certify			
the CMHSP/Region and Not Just	1st Choice 27.3%	1st Choice 18.7%	
Individual Staff	2 nd Choice 27.3%	2 nd Choice 31.3%	
	3 rd Choice 45.5%	3 rd Choice 50.0%	

Table 4: Comparison of Ranking Question 4

Table 4: Comparison of Ranking Question 4	Genesee	Statewide	
	n=11	n=139	
IV. Ranking Question 4:		-	
Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining	to staffing issues:		
a. Limit the Number of EBPs a	1st Choice 27.3%	1st Choice 23.9%	
CMHSP/Region is Implementing at	2 nd Choice 18.2%	2 nd Choice 15.9%	
Any Given Time	3 rd Choice 36.4%	3 rd Choice 13.8%	
	Unranked 18.2%	Unranked 46.4%	
	4 7 61 1 0 004	1+01 : 26.104	
b. Ensure that EBPs Don't Add to	1st Choice 0.0%	1st Choice 26.1%	
Staff Workload	2 nd Choice 45.5%	2 nd Choice 16.7%	
	3rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 13.0%	
	Unranked 54.5%	Unranked 44.2%	
	1st Choice 9.1%	1st Choice 10.1%	
c. Recognize Staff Achievements in	2 nd Choice 9.1%	2 nd Choice 19.6%	
EBPs	3 rd Choice 18.2%	3 rd Choice 21.0%	
	Unranked 63.6%	Unranked 49.3%	
d. Develop Mechanisms to Certify	1st Choice 36.4%	1st Choice 21.7%	
Supervisors in Multiple EBPs	2nd Choice 18.2%	2 nd Choice 17.4%	
3 mp 3 1 1 1 3 0 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3rd Choice 0.0%	3 rd Choice 27.5%	
	Unranked 45.5%	Unranked 33.3%	
e. Offer Incentives to Motivate Staff Participation in EBP Supervision	1st Choice 27.3%	1st Choice 16.7%	
	2 nd Choice 9.1%	2 nd Choice 29.0%	
	3 rd Choice 45.5%	3 rd Choice 23.2%	
	Unranked 18.2%	Unranked 31.2%	

Table 5: Comparison of Ranking Question 5

<u>Table 5</u> : Comparison of Ranking Question 5		
	Genesee	Statewide
	n=11	n=139
V. Ranking Question 5:		
Please rank the top 3 factors pertaining	to gathering data and mos	-
r lease rank the top 3 factors pertaining	to gathering data and mea	isui ing outcomes.
	1st Choice 18.2%	1st Choice 47.8%
a. Establish a Common Outcome	2 nd Choice 36.4%	2 nd Choice 29.0%
Measure for EBPs	3 rd Choice 45.5%	3 rd Choice 15.2%
	Unranked 0.0%	Unranked 8.0%
b. Adopt a Statewide Electronic	1st Choice 18.2%	1 st Choice 7.2%
Medical Record System	2 nd Choice 9.1%	2 nd Choice 8.0%
Medical Record System	3 rd Choice 9.1%	3 rd Choice 24.6%
	Unranked 63.6%	Unranked 60.1%
c. Incorporate EBP Fidelity Measures	1st Choice 18.2%	1st Choice 10.9%
into the State Audits	2 nd Choice 18.2%	2 nd Choice 26.8%
into the State Addits	3 rd Choice 27.3%	3 rd Choice 37.0%
	Unranked 36.4%	Unranked 25.4%
d. Use Cost Benefit Analysis to Determine Effectiveness of Multiple EBPs	1st Choice 45.5%	1st Choice 31.9%
	2 nd Choice 36.4%	2 nd Choice 34.1%
	3 rd Choice 18.2%	3 rd Choice 21.0%
	Unranked 0.0%	Unranked 13.0%